

#27



INCOMPLETE

Collector: Web L nk (Web L nk)
Started: Tuesday, December 01, 2015 10:50:28 AM
Last Modified: Tuesday, December 01, 2015 11:06:50 AM
Time Spent: 00:16:22
IP Address: [REDACTED]

PAGE 2

Q1: Contact details

Name	Robert Bayley
Company	Blackwood Grazing/Piggery
City/Town	Trafalgar
State	Vic
Postcode	3824
Email Address	[REDACTED]
Phone Number	[REDACTED]

Q2: I am making this submission on behalf of:

Business

Q3: Industry Activity or Scheduled Category (if applicable):

Respondent skipped this question

Q4: Privacy Options - please select an option:

I am making this submission as an individual and I understand that it may be published

PAGE 3: Current Regulations

Q5: Question 1: Which of the following currently scheduled categories or industrial activities are the most important for EPA works approval, licensing, and/or financial assurance requirements? For each of the activities you regard as most important, please tell us why in the box provided.

A02 - Other waste treatment	Close proximity to Melbourne means their waste is coming our way
A03 - Sewage treatment	Our area is very populated with high rainfall so sewage is an issue
A08 - Waste to energy conversion	Don't think this space is ready or viable at this stage without funding so not too important yet
B01 - Intensive animal industry	In our case we have found EPA regulations to take a one size fits all approach which doesn't work for intensive animals
F01 - Timber preservation	big topic in Gippsland

PAGE 4

Q6: Question 2: What currently scheduled categories or industrial activities might no longer warrant EPA works approval, licensing, and/or financial assurance requirements? For each of the activities you regard as longer warranting requirements, please tell us which risks have been reduced and how in the box provided.

A03 - Sewage treatment

Needs revisiting as regulations are inconsistent.

B01 - Intensive animal industry

Needs revisiting as current regulations are inconsistent and very hard to get answers from EPA. eg. for an application for our piggery to expand it would cost our business \$50000. we would like some consultation before investing this money eg. likelihood of us being granted approval But have to submit application before being able to meet and consult about the proposal.

PAGE 5

Q7: Question 3: What other industrial activities, not included in the current regulations, might warrant works approval, licensing, and/or financial assurance requirements and why?

Some forms of farming are currently going unregulated as they are seen as clean and green. In some cases there are pollutant issues that are going hidden and unmeasured.

Q8: Question 4: What would happen to emission levels, the numbers of pollution events, and the management of wastes if there were no EPA works approvals or licence requirements in Victoria? Why?

They are needed so as everyone feels accountable for their outputs.

PAGE 6: Adapting to changes

Q9: Question 5: Do any of the descriptions and application thresholds for currently scheduled categories need to be changed or clarified?

Respondent skipped this question

Q10: Please indicate which definitions or application thresholds need to be changed or clarified for industrial activities in the list below. For the activities, please tell us in detail for each activity, what specific changes or clarifications could be required?

Respondent skipped this question

PAGE 7

Q11: Question 6: Would an increased focus on emissions-based triggers for works approval or licence requirements, regardless of the activity creating these emissions, make the Scheduled Premises regulations more effective?

Respondent skipped this question

PAGE 8

Q12: Question 7: Do you agree that the environmental challenges outlined in section 4.1 are the most relevant ones for this review? *Respondent skipped this question*

Q13: Please tell us what other environmental challenges relating to industrial activities should be considered? Why? *Respondent skipped this question*

PAGE 9

Q14: Question 8: Are works approvals a suitable tool to address the environmental challenges outlined above? *Respondent skipped this question*

Q15: What other tool would be more suitable than works approvals to address these environmental challenges? Why? *Respondent skipped this question*

Q16: Are licences a suitable tool to address the environmental challenges outlined above? *Respondent skipped this question*

Q17: What other tool would be more suitable than licences to address these environmental challenges? Why? *Respondent skipped this question*

Q18: Are financial assurances a suitable tool to address the environmental challenges outlined above? *Respondent skipped this question*

Q19: What other tool would be more suitable than financial assurances to address these environmental challenges? Why? *Respondent skipped this question*

PAGE 10: New elements being considered

Q20: Question 9: Would tiered licence conditions, applied depending on a site's individual risk profile be worthwhile? *Respondent skipped this question*

Q21: Please tell us why you consider that tiered licence conditions would be worthwhile. *Respondent skipped this question*

Q22: Please tell us why you consider that tiered licence conditions would not be worthwhile. *Respondent skipped this question*

Q23: Would a site-based licence fee component, reflecting EPA's regulatory effort at each site, be worthwhile? *Respondent skipped this question*

Q24: Please tell us why you consider that a site-based licence fee component would be worthwhile. *Respondent skipped this question*

Q25: Please tell us why you consider that site-based licence fee component would not be worthwhile. *Respondent skipped this question*

PAGE 11

Q26: Question 10: Please tell us if you think there are any components of the Scheduled Premises regulations, or how they are applied by EPA, which could be improved.

Respondent skipped this question

Q27: Question 11: Do you have any concerns with how current processes for managing scheduled premises are operating?

Respondent skipped this question

Q28: What are your concerns with how current processes for managing scheduled premises are operating, and how might these concerns be effectively addressed?

Respondent skipped this question

Q29: Question 12: Are there any other key points you would like to make?

Respondent skipped this question
