


#29



**COMPLETE**

Collector: Web L nk (Web L nk)

Started: Tuesday, December 01, 2015 11:41:06 AM

Last Modified: Tuesday, December 01, 2015 11:49:36 AM

Time Spent: 00:08:30

IP Address: [REDACTED]

PAGE 2

**Q1: Contact details**

Name

[REDACTED]

Company

[REDACTED]

City/Town

Albury

State

N.S.W

Postcode

2641

Email Address

[REDACTED]

Phone Number

[REDACTED]

**Q2: I am making this submission on behalf of:**

Business

**Q3: Industry Activity or Scheduled Category (if applicable):**

Waste Industry

**Q4: Privacy Options - please select an option:**

I am making this submission as an individual. I request my submission be published anonymously with my postcode but with no other details.

PAGE 3: Current Regulations

**Q5: Question 1: Which of the following currently scheduled categories or industrial activities are the most important for EPA works approval, licensing, and/or financial assurance requirements? For each of the activities you regard as most important, please tell us why in the box provided.**

*Respondent skipped this question*

PAGE 4

**Q6: Question 2: What currently scheduled categories or industrial activities might no longer warrant EPA works approval, licensing, and/or financial assurance requirements? For each of the activities you regard as longer warranting requirements, please tell us which risks have been reduced and how in the box provided.**

*Respondent skipped this question*

PAGE 5

**Q7: Question 3: What other industrial activities, not included in the current regulations, might warrant works approval, licensing, and/or financial assurance requirements and why?** *Respondent skipped this question*

**Q8: Question 4: What would happen to emission levels, the numbers of pollution events, and the management of wastes if there were no EPA works approvals or licence requirements in Victoria? Why?** *Respondent skipped this question*

PAGE 6: Adapting to changes

**Q9: Question 5: Do any of the descriptions and application thresholds for currently scheduled categories need to be changed or clarified?** No

**Q10: Please indicate which definitions or application thresholds need to be changed or clarified for industrial activities in the list below. For the activities, please tell us in detail for each activity, what specific changes or clarifications could be required?** *Respondent skipped this question*

PAGE 7

**Q11: Question 6: Would an increased focus on emissions-based triggers for works approval or licence requirements, regardless of the activity creating these emissions, make the Scheduled Premises regulations more effective?** Yes, it would

PAGE 8

**Q12: Question 7: Do you agree that the environmental challenges outlined in section 4.1 are the most relevant ones for this review?** Yes

**Q13: Please tell us what other environmental challenges relating to industrial activities should be considered? Why?** *Respondent skipped this question*

PAGE 9

**Q14: Question 8: Are works approvals a suitable tool to address the environmental challenges outlined above?** Yes

**Q15: What other tool would be more suitable than works approvals to address these environmental challenges? Why?** *Respondent skipped this question*

**Q16: Are licences a suitable tool to address the environmental challenges outlined above?** Yes

Q17: What other tool would be more suitable than licences to address these environmental challenges? Why? *Respondent skipped this question*

Q18: Are financial assurances a suitable tool to address the environmental challenges outlined above? Yes

Q19: What other tool would be more suitable than financial assurances to address these environmental challenges? Why? *Respondent skipped this question*

PAGE 10: New elements being considered

Q20: Question 9: Would tiered licence conditions, applied depending on a site's individual risk profile be worthwhile? Yes

Q21: Please tell us why you consider that tiered licence conditions would be worthwhile.

A tiered license offers the licensee options in the level of approval. Reducing red tape and cost.

Q22: Please tell us why you consider that tiered licence conditions would not be worthwhile. *Respondent skipped this question*

Q23: Would a site-based licence fee component, reflecting EPA's regulatory effort at each site, be worthwhile? Yes

Q24: Please tell us why you consider that a site-based licence fee component would be worthwhile.

Site specific would be advantageous on both a time and monetary level to industry. Although more demanding for the authorities.

Q25: Please tell us why you consider that site-based licence fee component would not be worthwhile. *Respondent skipped this question*

PAGE 11

Q26: Question 10: Please tell us if you think there are any components of the Scheduled Premises regulations, or how they are applied by EPA, which could be improved. *Respondent skipped this question*

Q27: Question 11: Do you have any concerns with how current processes for managing scheduled premises are operating? No

Q28: What are your concerns with how current processes for managing scheduled premises are operating, and how might these concerns be effectively addressed? *Respondent skipped this question*

Q29: Question 12: Are there any other key points you would like to make? *Respondent skipped this question*